

BRODSKY & SMITH

Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352)
esmith@brodskysmith.com
Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113)
rcardona@brodskysmith.com
9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900
Beverly Hills, CA 90212
Phone: (877) 534-2590
Facsimile: (310) 247-0160

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TARA OLIVE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

POSHMARK, INC., MANISH
CHANDRA, NAVIN CHADDHA,
EBONY BECKWITH, JEFF EPSTEIN,
JENNY MING, HANS TUNG, and
SERENA J. WILLIAMS,

Defendants.

Case No.:

Complaint For:

- (1) Violation of § 14 (a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- (2) Violation of § 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff, Tara Olive (“Plaintiff”), by and through her attorneys, alleges upon information and belief, except for those allegations that pertain to him, which are alleged upon personal knowledge, as follows:

SUMMARY OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff brings this stockholder action against Poshmark, Inc. (“Poshmark” or the “Company”) and the Company’s Board of Directors (the “Board” or the “Individual Defendants,” collectively with the Company, the “Defendants”), for violations of Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) as a result of Defendants’ efforts to sell the Company to Naver Corporation (“Parent”) through merger vehicles Proton Parent, Inc. and Proton Merger Sub, Inc. (“Merger Subs”) (collectively with “Parent”, “Naver”) as a result of

1 an unfair process, and to enjoin an upcoming stockholder vote on a proposed all cash transaction
2 (the “Proposed Transaction”).

3 2. The terms of the Proposed Transaction were memorialized in a October 4, 2022,
4 filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) on Form 8-K attaching the definitive
5 Agreement and Plan of Merger (the “Merger Agreement”). Under the terms of the Merger
6 Agreement, Naver will acquire all of the remaining outstanding shares of Poshmark’s common
7 stock at a price of \$17.90 per share in cash. As a result, Poshmark will become an indirect wholly-
8 owned subsidiary of Naver.

9 3. Thereafter, on November 15, 2022, Poshmark filed a Preliminary Proxy Statement
10 on Form PREM14A attaching the proxy statement (the “Preliminary Proxy Statement”) with the
11 SEC in support of the Proposed Transaction.

12 4. The Proposed Transaction is unfair for a number of reasons. Specifically, Company
13 insiders have secured voting and support agreements from stockholders representing
14 approximately 77% of the outstanding shares, making the Proposed Transaction a foregone
15 conclusion. Despite this, the Preliminary Proxy statement fails to disclose why no majority-of-
16 minority provision was included in the deal.

17 5. In addition, it appears as though the Board has entered into the Proposed
18 Transaction to procure for itself and senior management of the Company significant and immediate
19 benefits with no thought to Plaintiff, as well as the Company’s public stockholders. For instance,
20 pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, upon the consummation of the Proposed
21 Transaction, Company Board Members and executive officers will be able to exchange all
22 Company equity awards for the merger consideration.

23 6. In violation of the Exchange Act, Defendants caused to be filed the materially
24 deficient Preliminary Proxy Statement in an effort to convince Plaintiff to vote in favor of the
25 Proposed Transaction. The Preliminary Proxy Statement is materially deficient, deprives Plaintiff
26 of the information necessary to make an intelligent, informed and rational decision of whether to
27 vote in favor of the Proposed Transaction, and is thus in violation of the Exchange Act. As detailed
28

below, the Preliminary Proxy Statement omits and/or misrepresents material information concerning, among other things: (a) the sales process and in particular certain conflicts of interest for management; (b) the financial projections for Poshmark, provided by Poshmark management to the Board and the Board's financial advisor Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC ("Goldman Sachs") and (c) the data and inputs underlying the financial valuation analyses, if any, that purport to support the fairness opinions created by Goldman Sachs, if any, and provide to the Company and the Board.

7. Absent judicial intervention, the Proposed Transaction will be consummated, resulting in irreparable injury to Plaintiff. This action seeks to enjoin the Proposed Transaction.

PARTIES

8. Plaintiff is a citizen of Alabama and, at all times relevant hereto, has been a Poshmark stockholder.

9. Defendant Poshmark is a leading social marketplace for new and secondhand style for women, men, kids, pets, home and more. Poshmark is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 203 Redwood Shores Parkway, 8th Floor Redwood City, California 94065. Shares of Poshmark common stock are traded on the Nasdaq Stock Exchange under the symbol "POSH".

10. Defendant Manish Chandra ("Chandra") has been a Director of the Company at all relevant times.

11. Defendant Navin Chaddha ("Chaddha") has been a director of the Company at all relevant times.

12. Defendant Ebony Beckwith ("Beckwith") has been a director of the Company at all relevant times.

13. Defendant Jeff Epstein ("Epstein") has been a director of the Company at all relevant times.

14. Defendant Jenny Ming ("Ming") has been a director of the Company at all relevant times.

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS***Company Background***

23. Poshmark is a leading social marketplace for new and secondhand style for women, men, kids, pets, home and more. By combining the human connection of physical shopping with the scale, ease, and selection benefits of e-commerce, Poshmark makes buying and selling simple, social, and sustainable. Its community of more than 80 million registered users across the U.S., Canada, Australia, and India is driving the future of commerce while promoting more sustainable consumption.

24. The Company's most recent financial performance press release, revealing financial results from the quarter preceding the announcement of the Proposed Transaction, indicated sustained and solid financial performance. For example, in the August 11, 2022 press release announcing its 2022 Q2 financial results, the Company highlighted such milestones as a revenue increase of 9% Year-over-Year to \$89.1 million and an 8% increase in merchandise value.

25. Speaking on these positive results, CEO Defendant Chandra commented on the Company's positive financial results as follows, "We reported a strong quarter despite a tough consumer environment due to our focus on execution and are pleased that our results exceeded our initial expectations. We remain focused on product innovation to give sellers better ways to market and merchandise their closets and new ways for buyers to discover trends and engage with our marketplace to drive conversion."

26. These positive results are not an anomaly, but rather, are indicative of a trend of continued financial success and future potential success by Poshmark. Clearly, based upon these positive financial results and outlook, the Company is likely to have tremendous future success.

27. Despite this upward trajectory and continually increasing financial results, the Individual Defendants have caused Poshmark to enter into the Proposed Transaction without providing requisite information to Poshmark stockholders such as Plaintiff.

1 ***The Flawed Sales Process***

2 28. As detailed in the Preliminary Proxy Statement, the process deployed by the
3 Individual Defendants was flawed and inadequate, was conducted out of the self-interest of the
4 Individual Defendants and was designed with only one concern in mind – to effectuate a sale of
5 the Company by any means possible.

6 29. Significantly, despite 77% of the outstanding votes being pledged in favor of the
7 Proposed Transaction through voting support agreements, no majority-of-minority rights were
8 included in the deal.

9 30. Notably, while the Preliminary Proxy Statement discloses that a “Transaction
10 Committee” was formed to evaluate the Proposed Transaction, it fails to disclose the powers the
11 committee had in doing so, including whether the committee was empowered to veto a potential
12 transaction not in the best interest of shareholders.

13 31. Similarly, the Preliminary Proxy statement describes the membership of the
14 Transaction Committee as independent, however, director Defendant Chaddha is affiliated with
15 entities owning a collective 57% of the voting power of the Company, all of which is pledged to
16 vote in favor of the Transaction through voting and support agreements. The Preliminary Proxy
17 fails to disclose adequate reasoning why the Transaction Committee was not comprised solely of
18 independent directors.

19 32. Additionally, the Preliminary Proxy Statement is silent as to the nature of the
20 confidentiality agreement entered into between the Company and Naver, whether this agreement
21 differed from any other agreement with potentially interested third parties not specifically
22 mentioned by the Preliminary Proxy Statement, if so in all specific manners, including all specific
23 terms of any such included “don’t-ask, don’t-waive” provisions or standstill provisions contained
24 therein, including, all specific conditions, if any, under which such provisions would fall away.

25 33. It is not surprising, given this background to the overall sales process, that it was
26 conducted in an inappropriate and misleading manner.

1 ***The Proposed Transaction***

2 34. On October 4, 2022, Poshmark and Naver issued a joint press release announcing
3 the Proposed Transaction. The press release stated, in relevant part:

4 **SEONGNAM-Si, South Korea and REDWOOD CITY, Calif., October 3, 2022** –
5 Naver Corp. (KRX: 035420) (“Naver”), Korea’s largest internet company, and Poshmark,
6 Inc. (NASDAQ: POSH) (“Poshmark”), a leading social e-commerce marketplace for new
7 and secondhand style, today announced that they have entered into a definitive agreement
8 under which Naver will acquire all of the issued and outstanding shares of Poshmark for
9 \$17.90 in cash, representing an enterprise value of approximately \$1.2 billion.¹ This
represents a premium of 15% to Poshmark’s closing stock price as of October 3, 2022, a
34% premium to the 30-day volume weighted average price, and a 48% premium to the
90-day volume weighted average price of Poshmark’s shares.

10 **Management Commentary**

11 Choi Soo-Yeon, Chief Executive Officer of Naver:

12 “The combination will create the strongest platform for powering communities and re-
13 fashioning commerce. Poshmark is the definitive brand for fashion in the United States that
14 provides a social network for buying and selling apparel. Naver’s leading technology in
15 search, AI recommendation and e-commerce tools will help power the next phase of
16 Poshmark’s global growth.”

17 “Poshmark is a natural fit for our business – our two companies share a common set of
18 values and vision around content, community and empowerment. Bringing Naver and
19 Poshmark together will immediately put us at the forefront of creating a new, socially
20 responsible, and sustainable shopping experience designed around sellers of all sizes and
21 interests – from individual and influencer sellers to professional sellers, brands and
22 specialty boutiques – and a large, loyal, and highly engaged social community. We are
23 excited to work closely with Manish and his talented team to create lasting value for all our
24 stakeholders.”

25 Manish Chandra, Founder and Chief Executive Officer of Poshmark:

26 “The opportunity to join forces with Naver – one of the world’s leading and most
27 innovative and successful internet companies – is a testament to the strength of our brand,
28 operating model, and what we’ve built over the last decade with our talented team and
amazing community. Our industry continues to evolve at a rapid pace, and we are excited
to continue to lead the future of shopping by providing our community with an unparalleled
experience that is simple, social, fun and sustainable.”

“This is a highly compelling opportunity for our employees, who will benefit from being
part of a larger, global organization with shared values and complementary strengths. This
transaction also delivers significant and immediate value to our shareholders. Longer term,
as part of Naver, we will benefit from their financial resources, significant technology
capabilities, and leading presence across Asia to expand our platform, elevate our product
and user experiences, and enter new and large markets. I look forward to partnering with
Naver as we take our company into its next phase of growth.”

Redefining Commerce and Community Around a Shared Vision

The inherent strengths of both companies lie in their unwavering commitment to content, community and empowerment:

Content. Naver is home to the largest number of bloggers in Korea and the largest number of digital creators of stories (Wattpad) and comics (Webtoons) globally. Poshmark is a leader in creating unique styles and fashion trends, including the growing K-Fashion apparel segment.

Community. Naver is the largest and longest-standing online community in Korea, where more than 36 million monthly users access its search portal and various online community services. Its metaverse platform, Zepeto, is the second largest metaverse app in the world, with a growing, vibrant community for members to create and share whatever they imagine. Naver's community also extends to other large, fast-growing, and highly engaged groups, including its K-Pop fandom community Weverse, which is jointly owned with BTS' management company, one of the most successful groups of all time. Poshmark is the destination of choice for more than 80 million registered users. Among them, active users spend approximately 25 minutes of their day buying and selling apparel online, and gather in-person at Posh Party Live events held in various cities around the world.

Empowerment. Naver is dedicated to empowering the long tail – it is the largest enabler of e-commerce for small and medium-sized businesses in Korea and has revolutionized content creation by democratizing the publication of digital comics through Naver Webtoons. At Poshmark, anyone can easily list and sell what is hanging in their closet at home, and anyone can make a social impact through the promotion of socially responsible, sustainable commerce.

The transaction will create a global player in online fashion re-commerce by combining Poshmark's unique discovery-based social shopping platform and deeply engaged community with Naver's technological prowess in upleveling the e-commerce experience. Poshmark will also leverage Naver's proven expertise and track record in Asia and its significant expertise from backing and investing in other fashion and consumer-to-consumer (C2C) e-commerce platforms globally.

The combination accelerates Naver's strategy to build a global e-commerce community portfolio to capture the growth in large markets around the world, including Poshmark's home market of North America. Together, the companies expect to increase purchase conversion rates, deepen user engagement, create an industry leader in livestreaming commerce, and enhance the unique relationship- and discovery-based experiences that are driving fast-growing re-commerce verticals.

Potential Conflicts of Interest

35. The breakdown of the benefits of the deal indicate that Poshmark insiders are the primary beneficiaries of the Proposed Transaction, not the Company's public stockholders such as

Plaintiff. The Board and the Company's executive officers are conflicted because they will have secured unique benefits for themselves from the Proposed Transaction not available to Plaintiff as a public stockholder of Poshmark.

36. Company insiders, currently own large, illiquid portions of Company stock all of which will be exchanged for the merger consideration upon the consummation of the Proposed Transaction, not shared amongst Plaintiff and other public stockholders of the Company. Notably, while the Preliminary Proxy Statement provides the following information, it fails to account for the cash consideration which such shares will be exchanged for:

	Shares Beneficially Owned				% Total Voting Power
	Class A		Class B		
	Shares	%	Shares	%	
Name of 5% Stockholders:					
Entities affiliated with Mayfield	—	—	17,253,647	70.2%	57.4%
Entities affiliated with GGV Capital	3,334,091	6.1%	—	—	1.1%
Entities affiliated with Menlo Ventures	5,172,016	9.8%	—	—	1.7%
Anderson Investments Pte. Ltd.	5,971,646	11.3%	—	—	2.0%
Dorsey Asset Management, LLC	3,306,561	6.2%	—	—	1.1%
The Vanguard Group	3,065,459	5.8%	—	—	1.0%
Manish Chandra	7,298	*	6,495,137	26.4%	21.6%
Named Executive Officers and Directors:					
Manish Chandra	7,298	*	6,495,137	26.4%	21.6%
Rodrigo Brumana	20,027	*	—	—	—
John McDonald	68,377	*	519,391	2.1%	1.8%
Ebony Beckwith	4,981	*	—	—	*
Navin Chaddha	6,555	*	17,253,647	70.2%	57.4%
Jeff Epstein	366,067	*	—	—	*
Jenny Ming	65,630	*	—	—	*
Hans Tung	3,338,563	6.1%	—	—	1.1%
Serena J. Williams	175,305	*	—	—	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (9 persons)	4,052,803	7.4%	24,268,175	98.7%	82.1%

37. Additionally, Company insiders, currently own large amounts of company options, restricted stock units, and other equity awards, all of which will be exchanged for the merger consideration upon the consummation of the Proposed Transaction, not shared amongst Plaintiff and other public stockholders of the Company. The Preliminary Proxy Statement accounts for these awards as follows:

Number of Shares Subject to Vested Company Options (#)	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Vested Company Options (\$)	Number of Shares Subject to Unvested Company Options (#)	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Unvested Company Options (\$)		Value of Shares Subject to Company Options (\$)	Number of Unvested Company RSUs (#)	Value of Shares Subject to Unvested Company RSUs (\$)	Total (\$)
The Company's Executive Officers								
Manish Chandra	938,457	1.45	41,667	10.77	11,464,345	562,359	10,066,226	21,530,571
Rodrigo Brumana	—	—	—	—	—	688,415	12,322,629	12,322,629
John McDonald	470,212	2.54	6,667	10.77	7,271,160	167,352	2,995,601	10,266,761
The Company's Non-Employee Directors								
Ebony Beckwith	—	—	—	—	—	19,625	351,288	351,288
Navin Chaddha	—	—	—	—	—	15,324	274,300	274,300
Jeff Epstein	359,512	4.60	—	—	4,781,510	15,324	274,300	5,055,810
Jenny Ming	41,339	14.80	—	—	128,151	15,324	274,300	402,451
Hans Tung	—	—	—	—	—	15,324	274,300	274,300
Serena Williams	127,500	10.77	52,500	10.77	1,283,400	—	—	1,283,400

38. In addition, certain employment agreements with certain Poshmark executives, entitle such executives to severance packages should their employment be terminated under certain circumstances. These 'golden parachute' packages are significant, and will grant several directors or officers entitled to them millions of dollars, compensation not shared by Plaintiff.

The Company's Executive Officers	Cash (\$)	Continued Health Benefits (\$)	Total (\$)
Manish Chandra	955,377	41,196	996,573
Rodrigo Brumana	653,160	26,361	679,521
John McDonald	619,132	26,361	645,493

39. The Preliminary Proxy Statement also fails to adequately disclose communications regarding post-transaction employment during the negotiation of the underlying transaction must be disclosed to stockholders. Communications regarding post-transaction employment during the negotiation of the underlying transaction must be disclosed to stockholders. This information is necessary for Plaintiff to understand potential conflicts of interest of management and the Board, as that information provides illumination concerning motivations that would prevent fiduciaries from acting solely in the best interests of the Company's stockholders.

1 40. Thus, while the Proposed Transaction is not in the best interests of Poshmark,
 2 Plaintiff or Company stockholders, it will produce lucrative benefits for the Company's officers
 3 and directors.

4 ***The Materially Misleading and/or Incomplete Preliminary Proxy Statement***

5 41. On November 15, 2022, the Poshmark Board caused to be filed with the SEC a
 6 materially misleading and incomplete Preliminary Proxy Statement that, in violation the Exchange
 7 Act, failed to provide Plaintiff in his capacity as a Company stockholder with material information
 8 and/or provides materially misleading information critical to the total mix of information available
 9 to Plaintiff concerning the financial and procedural fairness of the Proposed Transaction.

10 *Omissions and/or Material Misrepresentations Concerning the Sales Process leading up*
 11 *to the Proposed Transaction*

12 42. Specifically, the Preliminary Proxy Statement fails to disclose material information
 13 concerning the process conducted by the Company and the events leading up to the Proposed
 14 Transaction. In particular, the Preliminary Proxy Statement fails to disclose:

- 15 a. Adequate disclosure as to why no majority-of-minority rights were included in
 16 the transaction;
- 17 b. Adequate disclosure as to the powers of the Transaction Committee,
 18 specifically whether the committee was empowered to veto a transaction not in
 19 the best interest of shareholders;
- 20 c. Adequate disclosure as to why the Transaction Committee was no comprised
 21 solely of disinterested directors;
- 22 d. Whether the confidentiality agreements entered into by the Company with
 23 Naver differed from any other unnamed confidentiality agreement entered into
 24 between the Company and an interested third parties;
- 25 e. All specific conditions under which any standstill provision contained in any
 26 entered confidentiality agreement entered into between the Company and
 27
 28

1 potentially interested third parties throughout the sales process, including
 2 Naver, would fall away; and

- 3 f. Adequate and complete disclosure of communications regarding post-
 4 transaction employment during the negotiation of the underlying transaction
 5 must be disclosed to stockholders.

6 Omissions and/or Material Misrepresentations Concerning Poshmark's Financial
 7 Projections

8 43. The Preliminary Proxy Statement fails to provide material information concerning
 9 financial projections for Poshmark provided by Poshmark management to the Board and Goldman
 10 Sachs and relied upon by Goldman Sachs in its analyses. The Preliminary Proxy Statement
 11 discloses management-prepared financial projections for the Company which are materially
 12 misleading.

13 44. Notably the Preliminary Proxy Statement reveals that as part of its analyses,
 14 Goldman Sachs reviewed, "certain internal financial analyses and forecasts for the Company,
 15 including assumed probabilities associated with certain future events contemplated by such
 16 forecasts and certain analyses related to the expected utilization by the Company" prepared by
 17 Company management.

18 45. The Preliminary Proxy Statement should have, but fails to provide, certain
 19 information in the projections that Poshmark management provided to the Board and Goldman
 20 Sachs. Courts have uniformly stated that "projections ... are probably among the most highly-
 21 prized disclosures by investors. Investors can come up with their own estimates of discount rates
 22 or [] market multiples. What they cannot hope to do is replicate management's inside view of the
 23 company's prospects." *In re Netsmart Techs., Inc. S'holders Litig.*, 924 A.2d 171, 201-203 (Del.
 24 Ch. 2007)

25 46. With regard to the *Certain Financial Projections* prepared by Poshmark, the
 26 Preliminary Proxy Statement fails to disclose material line items, including the following:

- 27 a. A definition for Adjusted EBITDA as well as all underlying inputs, metrics,
 28

and assumptions used to calculate the metric; and

- b. A definition for Unlevered Free Cash Flow as well as any underlying inputs, metrics, and assumptions used to calculate the metric.

47. The Preliminary Proxy Statement also fails to disclose a reconciliation of all non-GAAP to GAAP metrics utilized in the projections.

48. This information is necessary to provide Plaintiff, in his capacity as a Company stockholder, a complete and accurate picture of the sales process and its fairness. Without this information, Plaintiff is not fully informed as to Defendants' actions, including those that may have been taken in bad faith, and cannot fairly assess the process.

49. Without accurate projection data presented in the Preliminary Proxy Statement, Plaintiff is unable to properly evaluate the Company's true worth, the accuracy of the Goldman Sachs's financial analyses, or make an informed decision whether to vote in favor of the Proposed Transaction. As such, the Board has violated the Exchange Act by failing to include such information in the Preliminary Proxy Statement.

Omissions and/or Material Misrepresentations Concerning the Financial Analyses by Goldman Sachs

50. In the Preliminary Proxy Statement, Goldman Sachs describes its fairness opinion and the various valuation analyses performed to render such opinion. However, the descriptions fail to include necessary underlying data, support for conclusions, or the existence of, or basis for, underlying assumptions. Without this information, one cannot replicate the analyses, confirm the valuations or evaluate the fairness opinions.

51. With respect to the *Illustrative Present Value of Future Share Price Analyses*, the Preliminary Proxy Statement fails to disclose:

- a. The inputs, metrics, and assumptions used to determine an EV/NTM revenue multiple range of 1.5x to 3.0x;
- b. The inputs, metrics, and assumptions used to determine an EV/NTM gross profit multiple range of 1.75x to 3.50x;

- c. The amount of Poshmark's projected debt as of December 31, 2023, 2024 and 2025 respectively;
- d. The amount of Poshmark's projected cash as of December 31, 2023, 2024 and 2025;
- e. The value of funds payable to customers and the Suede One earnout estimate;
- f. The inputs, metrics, and assumptions used to determine an illustrative discount rate of 15.0%;
- g. The Company's estimated cost of equity; and
- h. The beta for the Company.

52. With respect to the *Illustrative Discounted Cash Flow Analysis—Aggregate Cash Flows*, the Preliminary Proxy Statement fails to disclose:

- a. The inputs, metrics, and assumptions used to determine discount rates ranging from 12.5% to 15.0%;
- b. The Company's weighted average cost of capital;
- c. The range of illustrative terminal values for Poshmark;
- d. The inputs, metrics, and assumptions used to determine perpetuity growth rates ranging from 2.0% to 4.0%;
- e. The inputs, metrics, and assumptions used to determine implied exit terminal year EV/revenue multiples ranging from 1.1x to 1.8x;
- f. Poshmark's target capital structure weightings;
- g. The Company's cost of long-term debt;
- h. The after-tax yield on permanent excess cash;
- i. The future applicable marginal cash tax rate for the Company;
- j. The beta for the Company;
- k. The assumed rate of inflation;
- l. The range of illustrative equity values derived for the Company; and
- m. The number of fully diluted outstanding shares of Company stock.

53. With respect to the *Premia Analysis*, the Preliminary Proxy Statement fails to disclose:

- a. The value of each transaction compared;
- b. The specific date on which each transaction closed; and
- c. The inputs, metrics, and assumptions used to determine a reference range of illustrative premiums of 23% to 50%.

54. These disclosures are critical for Plaintiff to be able to make an informed decision on whether to vote in favor of the Proposed Transaction.

55. Without the omitted information identified above, Plaintiff is missing critical information necessary to evaluate whether the proposed consideration truly maximizes his value and serves his interest as a stockholder. Moreover, without the key financial information and related disclosures, Plaintiff cannot gauge the reliability of the fairness opinion and the Board's determination that the Proposed Transaction is in his best interests as a public Poshmark stockholder. As such, the Board has violated the Exchange Act by failing to include such information in the Preliminary Proxy Statement.

FIRST COUNT

Violations of Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act

(Against All Defendants)

56. Plaintiff repeats all previous allegations as if set forth in full herein.

57. Defendants have disseminated the Registration Statement with the intention of soliciting stockholders, including Plaintiff, to vote in favor of the Proposed Transaction.

58. Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act requires full and fair disclosure in connection with the Proposed Transaction. Specifically, Section 14(a) provides that:

It shall be unlawful for any person, by the use of the mails or by any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce or of any facility of a national securities exchange or otherwise, in contravention of such rules and regulations as the [SEC] may prescribe as necessary or appropriate in the public interest or for the protection

1 of investors, to solicit or to permit the use of his name to solicit any proxy or consent
2 or authorization in respect of any security (other than an exempted security)
3 registered pursuant to section 78l of this title.

4 59. As such, SEC Rule 14a-9, 17 C.F.R. 240.14a-9, states the following:

5 No solicitation subject to this regulation shall be made by means of any proxy
6 statement, form of proxy, notice of meeting or other communication, written or
7 oral, containing any statement which, at the time and in the light of the
8 circumstances under which it is made, is false or misleading with respect to any
9 material fact, or which omits to state any material fact necessary in order to make
10 the statements therein not false or misleading or necessary to correct any statement
11 in any earlier communication with respect to the solicitation of a proxy for the same
12 meeting or subject matter which has become false or misleading.

13 60. The Registration Statement was prepared in violation of Section 14(a) because it is
14 materially misleading in numerous respects and omits material facts, including those set forth
15 above. Moreover, in the exercise of reasonable care, Defendants knew or should have known that
16 the Registration Statement is materially misleading and omits material facts that are necessary to
17 render them non-misleading.

18 61. The Individual Defendants had actual knowledge or should have known of the
19 misrepresentations and omissions of material facts set forth herein.

20 62. The Individual Defendants were at least negligent in filing a Registration Statement
21 that was materially misleading and/or omitted material facts necessary to make the Registration
22 Statement not misleading.

23 63. The misrepresentations and omissions in the Registration Statement are material to
24 Plaintiff, and Plaintiff will be deprived of his entitlement to decide whether to vote his shares in
25 favor of the Proposed Transaction on the basis of complete information if such misrepresentations
26 and omissions are not corrected prior to the stockholder vote regarding the Proposed Transaction.

SECOND COUNT

Violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act

(Against all Individual Defendants)

64. Plaintiff repeats all previous allegations as if set forth in full herein.

65. The Individual Defendants were privy to non-public information concerning the Company and its business and operations via access to internal corporate documents, conversations and connections with other corporate officers and employees, attendance at management and Board meetings and committees thereof and via reports and other information provided to them in connection therewith. Because of their possession of such information, the Individual Defendants knew or should have known that the Registration Statement was materially misleading to Plaintiff in his capacity as a Company stockholder.

66. The Individual Defendants were involved in drafting, producing, reviewing and/or disseminating the materially false and misleading statements complained of herein. The Individual Defendants were aware or should have been aware that materially false and misleading statements were being issued by the Company in the Registration Statement and nevertheless approved, ratified and/or failed to correct those statements, in violation of federal securities laws. The Individual Defendants were able to, and did, control the contents of the Registration Statement. The Individual Defendants were provided with copies of, reviewed and approved, and/or signed the Registration Statement before its issuance and had the ability or opportunity to prevent its issuance or to cause it to be corrected.

67. The Individual Defendants also were able to, and did, directly or indirectly, control the conduct of Poshmark' business, the information contained in its filings with the SEC, and its public statements. Because of their positions and access to material non-public information available to them but not the public, the Individual Defendants knew or should have known that the misrepresentations specified herein had not been properly disclosed to and were being concealed from Plaintiff and Company, and that the Registration Statement was misleading. As a

1 result, the Individual Defendants are responsible for the accuracy of the Registration Statement
2 and are therefore responsible and liable for the misrepresentations contained herein.

3 68. The Individual Defendants acted as controlling persons of Poshmark within the
4 meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. By reason of their position with the Company, the
5 Individual Defendants had the power and authority to cause Poshmark to engage in the wrongful
6 conduct complained of herein. The Individual Defendants controlled Poshmark and all of its
7 employees. As alleged above, Poshmark is a primary violator of Section 14 of the Exchange Act
8 and SEC Rule 14a-9. By reason of their conduct, the Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to
9 section 20(a) of the Exchange Act.

10 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands injunctive relief, in his favor and against the Defendants,
11 as follows:

12 A. Enjoining the Proposed Transaction;

13 B. In the event Defendants consummate the Proposed Transaction, rescinding it and
14 setting it aside or awarding rescissory damages to Plaintiff;

15 C. Directing the Individual Defendants to exercise their fiduciary duties to disseminate
16 a Registration Statement that does not contain any untrue statements of material fact and
17 that states all material facts required in it or necessary to make the statements contained
18 therein not misleading;

19 D. Awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action, including reasonable allowance for
20 Plaintiff's attorneys' and experts' fees; and

21 E. Granting such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury on all issues which can be heard by a jury.

Dated: November 21, 2022

BRODSKY & SMITH

By: /s/ Evan J. Smith

Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352)

esmith@brodskysmith.com

Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113)

rcardona@brodskysmith.com

9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900

Phone: (877) 534-2590

Facsimile (310) 247-0160

Attorneys for Plaintiff